

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

051059 FEB 20 2006

No. _____
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ISIDORO RODRIGUEZ, AND ISIDORO RODRIGUEZ-
HAZBUN,

Petitioners,

vs.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF STATE, THE OFFICE
OF CHILDREN ISSUES; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT
OF JUSTICE, OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND
DELINQUENCY PREVENTION; THE NATIONAL CENTER
FOR MISSING AND EXPLOITED CHILDREN, *et al.*,

Respondents.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF
COLUMBIA

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Isidoro Rodriguez, Esq.
Counsel for Petitioners

THE LAW OFFICES OF ISIDORO RODRIGUEZ
2304 Farrington Avenue
Alexandria, Virginia 22303-1520
(703)317-0526; E-mail: isidoro@earthlink.net

This litigation concerns: (a) the prompt securing of a father's parental right to visitations under a valid Agreement as mandated by the General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Virginia, as well as by Congress; and, (b) the awarding of monetary damages due to malfeasance in office in furtherance of a criminal conspiracy with the common purpose of concealing the felony of obstructing the parental rights.

QUESTION PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

I. WHETHER THE COURTS HAD JURISDICTION TO OBSTRUCT A FATHER/SON VISITATION RIGHTS?

II. WHETHER THE RIGHT TO OBTAIN A PETITION FOR A TRO/INJUNCTION AND WRIT OF MANDAMUS IS MOOT GIVEN THAT VA CODE § 20-146.1 *ET SEQ.*, IS EFFECTIVE UNTIL ISIDORO-SON BECOMES 18 YEARS OLD ON MARCH 10, 2007?

III. WHETHER THE RIGHT TO OBTAIN A PETITION FOR A TRO/INJUNCTION AND WRIT OF MANDAMUS UNDER THE TREATY AND ICARA IS *EQUITABLY TOLLED*, BECAUSE OF THE INTENTIONAL CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C. § 4, § 371, § 1001, § 1204?

IV. WHETHER THE SUMMARY ORDERS DISMISSING THE COMPLAINT ARE VALID GIVEN CONGRESS' ENACTMENT OF 28 U.S.C. § 455(b)(5)(i) & (iv)?

V. WHETHER THE SUMMARY ORDERS DISMISSING THE COMPLAINT ARE VALID GIVEN THE *VOID ORDER DOCTRINE*?

LIST OF PARTIES

Petitioner, Isidoro Rodriguez, Esq., in his own name and as next of friend, a resident of Alexandria, Virginia.

Petitioner, Isidoro Rodriguez-Hazbun is 16 years-old citizen of the United States, resident of Colombia.

Respondent Hon. Judge John G. Roberts, Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court.

Respondent Hon. Judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge Douglas, H. Ginsburg, Chief Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge Merrick B. Garland, Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge Harry T. Edwards, Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge Karen L. Henderson, Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge Judith W. Rogers, Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge John G. Roberts, Jr., Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge Arthur, R. Randolph, Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge David B. Sentelle, Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judge David S. Tatel, Justice of the United States Court of Appeals for the D. C. Cir., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Chief Judge Jane A. Restani, United States Court of International Trade, New York, NY.

Respondent Hon. Chief Judge Paul R. Michel, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Cir., Washington, D.C.

Respondent Hon. Judge William H. Stafford, Jr., U.S. District Court for the N. D. Fl., Tallahassee Fl.

The Hon. Judges of the United States Court District Court for the District of Colombia, Washington, D.C.

Respondent Hon. Judge Richard W. Roberts, U.S. District Court for D.C., Washington, D.C..

Respondent Hon. Judges of the United States Court of Appeal for the Fourth Circuit, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge William W. Wilkins, Chief Justice of the United States Court of Appeal for the Fourth Circuit, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge William B. Traxler, Jr., Justice of the United States Court of Appeal for the Fourth Circuit, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge M. Blane Michael, Justice of the United States Court of Appeal for the Fourth Circuit, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent the Hon Judges of the U. S. District Court for the E. D. of Va, Alexandria, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge T.S. Ellis, III, U. S. District Court for the E. D. of Va, Alexandria, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judges of the Supreme Court of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judges of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon., Judge Rudolph Bumgardner, III, of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge D. Arthur Kelsey, of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Senior Judge William H. Hodges, of the Court of Appeals of Virginia, Richmond, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judges of the Fairfax County Circuit Court, Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge Stanley Paul Klein, of the Fairfax County Circuit Court, Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent Hon Judge Langahorn Keith, Fairfax County Circuit Court, Chancellery, Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judges of the Fairfax Court Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court, Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge David S. Schell, the Fairfax Court Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court, Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge Charles J. Maxfield, the Fairfax Court Juvenile & Domestic Relations District Court, Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent Hon. Judge Thomas Peter Mann, Fairfax County J&D District Court, Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent, the U. S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Washington, D.C.

Respondent, the United States Department of State, The Office of Children Issues, Bureau of Consular Affairs, Washington, D.C..

Respondent Ms. Mary B. Marshall Director Office of Children Issues United States Department of State; the Office of Legal Advisor for Consular Affairs, U.S. Department of State, Washington, D.C.

Respondent Mr. Robert McConnell, Executive Director, the Office of Legal Advisor for Consular Affairs, United States Department of State, Washington, D.C.

Respondent. Mr. Knute E. Malmborg, the Office of Legal Advisor for Consular Affairs, United States Department of State, Washington, D.C.

Respondent Estate of Chief Justice William Rehnquist, in his capacity as Circuit Justice for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. and Fourth Cir., Arlington, Virginia.

Respondent, the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children, Alexandria, Virginia.

Respondent International Centre for Missing & Exploited Children, Alexandria Virginia

Respondent Mr. Ernie Allen, President, the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children, Alexandria, Virginia.

Respondent Ms. Nancy Hammer, Esq., the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children, Alexandria, Virginia.

Respondent Ms. Susan Brinkerhoff, Esq., the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children, Alexandria, Virginia.

Respondent Mr. Guillermo Galarza, the National Center for Missing & Exploited Children, Alexandria, Virginia.

Respondent Law Firm of Proskauer Rose LLP,
Washington, D.C.

Respondent Estate of Mr. Warren L. Denis, Esq.,
Fairfax, Virginia.

Respondent Law Firm Miles & Stockbridge LLP,
Townsend, Maryland.

Respondent Stephen J. Cullen, Esq., Townsend,
Maryland.

Respondent, Mr. Patrick H. Stiehm, Esq., Alexandria,
Virginia.

Respondent Law Firm of Covington & Burling,
Washington, D.C..

Respondent Mr. Eric H. Holder, Jr., Covington &
Burling, Washington, D.C.

Respondent Ms. D. Jean Veta, Esq., Covington &
Burling, Washington, D.C.

Respondent as yet Unknown John Does Individuals
and Entities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTION PRESENTED FOR REVIEW	-i-
LIST OF PARTIES	-ii-
TABLE OF CONTENTS	-viii-
TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES	-xviii-
CITATIONS TO OPINIONS BELOW	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION	1
STATEMENT REQUIRED BY RULE 29.4	1
FEDERAL AND VIRGINIA STATUTES INVOLVED	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	2
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI	7
I. THE PETITION MUST BE GRANTED BECAUSE THE COURTS ARE WITHOUT JURISDICTION TO OB- STRUCT VALID PARENTAL RIGHTS UNDER THE AGREEMENT	7
II. THE PETITION FOR A TRO/INJUNCTION AND WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH VIRGINIA'S UCCJEA MUST BE GRANTED BECAUSE PETITIONERS' HAVE THE RIGHT TO SECURE VISITATION UNTIL ISIDORO-SON IS 18 YEARS OLD ON MARCH 10, 2007	9

III.	BASED ON THE DOCTRINE OF "EQUITABLY TOLLING," AND THE ACTS OF FRAUDULENT MALFEASANCE SINCE JANUARY 27, 2003, VIOLATING 18 U.S.C. § 4, § 371, § 1001, § 1204, THE PETITION FOR A TRO/INJUNCTION AND WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO COMPEL THE EXECUTIVE AND JUDICIAL BRANCH TO SECURE VISITATION PURSUANT TO THE TREATY AND ICARA, IS NOT MOOT AND MUST BE GRANTED	12
IV.	THE PETITION MUST BE GRANTED TO DECLARE AS INVALID THE ORDERS ISSUED IN DEFIANCE OF CONGRESS' MANDATE UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 455(b)(5)(i) & (iv).	16
V.	BASED ON THE 'VOID ORDER DOCTRINE,' THE PETITION MUST BE GRANTED	20
	CONCLUSION AND RELIEF SOUGHT	23

TABLE OF CITED AUTHORITIES

Cases:

<i>Pulliam v. Allen</i> , 466 U.S. 522, 80 L.Ed. 2d 565	4
<i>Armstrong v. Manzo</i> , 380 U.S. 545, 552 (1965).	10
<i>Boddie v. Connecticut</i> , 401 U.S. 371, 378-79 (1971)	4, 7, 23
<i>Memphis Light, Gas & Water Div. v. Craft</i> , 346 U.S. 1, 19 (1978)	4, 10
<i>Nardi v. Stewart</i> , 354 F.3d 1134 (9 th Cir. 2004)	5, 9
<i>Robinson v. Johnson</i> , 313 f.3rd 128, 137 (3 rd Cir. 2002)	4, 10
<i>Braatelen v. United States</i> , 147 F2d 888 (CA 8 ND)	4, 10
<i>Christopher v. Harbury</i> , 536 U.S. 403, Sct. 01-394 (2002)	4, 7, 10, 16, 23
<i>Claiborne v. United States</i> , 465 U.S. 1305, 79 L.Ed.2d 665	7, 21
<i>Forrester v. White</i> , 484 U.S. 219, 98 L.Ed. 2d 555	4, 10, 12, 18
<i>In re Extradition of Schweidenback</i> , 3 F.Supp2d 118 (1998, DC Mass)	4
<i>Jordon v. Gilligan</i> , 500 F.2d 701, 710 (6th Cir. 1974)	22
<i>Lilyeberg v. Health Services Acquisition Corp.</i> , 486 U.S. 847, 871 (1988)	21

<i>Lubben v. Selective Service System Local Bd. No. 27</i> , 453 F.2d 645 (1st Cir. 1972)	10, 11, 12, 18
<i>McDonald v. Mabee</i> 243 US 90, 37 Sct 343, 61 L ed 608 (1917)	4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 23
<i>Mirles v. Waco</i> , 502 U.S. 9, 116 L.Ed. 2d 9 ..	4, 10, 16, 23
<i>Missouri Pacific Ry. Co. v. Humes</i> , 115 U.S. 512 (1885)	4, 10, 16
<i>Rose v. Himely</i> (1808) 4 Cranch 241, 2 L ed 608	21
<i>Stephens v. Herring</i> (Ed Va) 827 F.Supp 359	11
<i>Terry E. Butera v. District of Colombia et al.</i> , 235 F.3d 637 (2001)	10
<i>Thompson v. Whitman</i> , 18 Wall 457, 21 l ED 897 (1873)	20
<i>U.S. v. Holtzman</i> , 762 F.2d 720 (9th Cir. 1985)	22
<i>United States v. Alahmad</i> , 211 F.3d 538, 2000 Colo JCAR 2472 (CA10 Colo., 2000)	9
<i>United States v. Shahani-Jahromi</i> , 289 F.Supp2d 723 (ED Va, 2003).	9
<i>Windsor v. McVeigh</i> , 93 US 274, 23 L ed 914 (1876) ..	21
<u>Constitution, Treaty, Statutes, and Regulations:</u>	
Supremacy Clause, Article VI, Clause 2	5
Article 2 of the Treaty	8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 20